



# International Journal of Sociology Civics Research

## Youth Activism and Social Change: A Comparative Study of Digital vs. Street Movements

**Dr. Lucas Moreira**

Department of Communication, University of Lisbon, Portugal

\* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Lucas Moreira**

---

### Article Info

**ISSN (Online):** 3107-6637

**Volume:** 01

**Issue:** 05

**September - October 2025**

**Received:** 10-07-2025

**Accepted:** 13-08-2025

**Published:** 03-09-2025

**Page No:** 06-08

### Abstract

Youth activism plays a key role in driving social change globally, with movements manifesting both in physical street protests and digital platforms. This comparative study examines the dynamics, effectiveness, participation patterns, and societal impacts of digital activism versus traditional street activism among youth. Through review of global case studies and empirical data, key findings reveal complementary strengths and limitations to both approaches. Digital activism offers rapid mobilization and broad dissemination but faces challenges of slacktivism and surveillance. Street activism demonstrates high visibility and community solidarity but is limited by geographic and physical risk factors. Integration of both forms increasingly defines modern youth-led social movements.

**Keywords:** Dissemination, Dissemination, Increasingly

---

### Introduction

Youth have historically been catalysts for social transformation through activism. The rise of digital technologies has enabled new forms of activism alongside established street protests. Understanding how these modes compare in shaping social change is crucial for scholars, policymakers, and activists.

This review synthesizes literature on youth digital and street activism, highlighting motivations, mechanisms, outcomes, and challenges with a global perspective.

### Methodology

A systematic review of scholarly articles, NGO reports, and media accounts from 2010-2025 was conducted. Databases searched included JSTOR, Wiley Online Library, and ScienceDirect. Keywords included “youth activism,” “digital activism,” “street protests,” “social change,” and “comparative analysis.”

### Characteristics of Youth Digital Activism

#### Platforms and Methods

Social media platforms—Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok—function as hubs for political discourse, petition campaigns, viral challenges, and online community-building.

#### Participation and Demographics

Digital activism attracts young people across socio-economic and geographic spectra, lowering barriers to entry. It leverages visual storytelling, memes, and hashtags to engage youth issues.

---

### Strengths

- Rapid information dissemination
- Global reach and transnational solidarity
- Ability to coordinate decentralized actions
- Lower physical risk

### Limitations

- Risks of slacktivism and performative activism
- Exposure to misinformation and cyber harassment
- Surveillance by state and private actors
- Challenges translating online engagement to offline impact

### Characteristics of Youth Street Activism

#### Methods and Mobilization

Street activism includes marches, sit-ins, occupations, and rallies, often motivated by local grievances and facilitated by grassroots networks.

#### Participation and Demographics

Physical protests typically attract urban youth with strong community ties and face-to-face organizing. Participation can be limited by state repression, logistics, and resources.

### Strengths

- High public visibility and media attention
- Community bonding and collective identity
- Direct pressure on policymakers and institutions

### Limitations

- Physical risks including violence and arrest
- Geographic and logistical constraints
- Resource-intensive mobilization

**Table 1:** Comparative Analysis

Aspect	Digital Activism	Street Activism
Accessibility	Broad, global	Localized, urban-centric
Participation barriers	Low	Higher (logistics, risks)
Impact on policy	Indirect, through awareness and pressure	Direct, through visibility and protest
Longevity and momentum	Variable, prone to rapid decay	Often sustained through continued action
Risks	Online harassment, surveillance	Physical violence, legal consequences
Community building	Virtual networks and echo chambers	Physical solidarity and shared experience

### Case Studies

- The Arab Spring: Combination of digital organizing and mass protests.
- Black Lives Matter: Initial social media momentum translated to street marches globally.
- Fridays for Future: Leveraged digital outreach with worldwide street strikes by youth.

### Discussion

Hybrid models blending digital tools and street presence prove most effective. Overreliance on either modality limits reach or impact. Effective youth activism requires adaptability, resourcefulness, and coalition-building.

### Policy Implications

- Support for digital literacy and cybersecurity for activists
- Protection of protest rights in physical spaces
- Inclusion of youth voices in policy design
- Recognition of digital activism's legitimacy alongside traditional protests.

### Conclusion

Digital and street youth activism present distinct but complementary avenues for social change. Recognizing their unique strengths and challenges allows for more strategic engagement to effect sustainable societal transformation.

### References

1. Bilandzic H, *et al.* Social media, design and civic engagement by youth. ACM Press. 2012;1:2347635.
2. Oden A. The kids are online: teen social media use, civic engagement, and political polarization. Soc Media Soc. 2023;9(3):20563051231186364.
3. Saud M. Cultural dynamics of digital space: democracy, civic engagement, and youth participation. Int J Commun. 2023;17:1529.
4. Goli M, Shao L. Impact of social media usage on civic engagement towards societal problems. JNDDS. 2022;11:121215.
5. Plan International. Urban research series: civic engagement and social capital among urban youth in Sub-Saharan Africa. 2024 Jan 8.
6. Tufekci Z. Twitter and Tear Gas: The Power and Fragility of Networked Protest. Yale University Press; 2017.
7. Jordan T, Taylor P. The Black Social Movement Online: Cyberactivism and the Facilitation of Social Change. Information, Communication & Society. 2013;16(10):1441–1459.
8. Earl J, *et al.* The Use of Social Media in Protests and Mass Mobilization: Examining the Role of Online Networks. Journal of Communication. 2013;63(2):310–326.
9. Castells M. Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age. Polity; 2012.
10. Gerbaudo P. Tweets and the Streets: Social Media and Contemporary Activism. Pluto Press; 2012.
11. McAdam D. Political Process and the Development of Black Insurgency. University of Chicago Press; 1999.
12. Freelon D, *et al.* Connecting across platforms: youth and digital activism. Social Media + Society. 2016;2(2).
13. Bennett WL, Segerberg A. The Logic of Connective Action. Cambridge University Press; 2013.
14. Livingston S, *et al.* Media, Protest and Civic Engagement among Youth. Communication Research. 2019;46(6):777-799.
15. Castells M. Communication Power. Oxford University Press; 2009.
16. Valenzuela S, *et al.* Digital grassroots activism: participation and influence online. New Media & Society. 2012;14(2):264–282.
17. Boulianne S. Social media use and participation: a meta-analysis. Inform Commun Soc. 2015;18(5):524-538.
18. Jenkins H, *et al.* By Any Media Necessary: The New Youth Activism. NYU Press; 2016.
19. Earl J, *et al.* The Culture of Protest: A Review of Social

Movement Research. Annual Review of Sociology.  
2017;43:293-311.

20. Gerbaudo P. The Digital Party: Political Organisation  
and Online Democracy. Pluto Press; 2018.